The United States District Court, The Middle District of Alabama District D

Plaintiet D Jones 1 Case No. 2:23-CY-165-Ecm. sm. V.
Rolanda Calloway)

Response To Défendants Answer To Complaint.

1. The Defendant stated on page 3 of her answer that she started working at Kilby. on May 1, 2020, and that it wasn't her responsibility to alert Alabama fardons and Paroles of my airest.

When Plaintiff brought this fact to her attention during one of her walk through's, it became her responsibility because she was at that time the head of kilby prison.

department of Corrections, after receiving notice on a parolee being held, shall promptly nutify the board.

Plaintiff and several other romates adusted Worden Calloway of their situation

of not having a revolution hearing within the allowed time period, her response was due to COUID-19 nothing will be done. This violates plaintiffs rights, beiguse no matter whether the parole board or witnesses where allowed on the grounds of kilby plaintiff was by law to be released and a bearing held elsewhere. By doing nothing until September 1, 2000, clearly violated flaintiffs (19hts.

2. Defendant Calloway Clearly states that she works for the Department of Conscious and that she was at that time the head of Kilby prison. The parole Board admitted to the Court that the prison never timely notified them of plaintiffs stay, and the defendant admitts that it wasn't ber job. Either the parole board or this defendant is at fault and from both responses and and law shows that Defendant Collowey is responsible for plaintiffs unnecessary detention at Kilby prison.

3. Plaintiff sued défendant Callourag under

Plaintiffs arques deliberate indifférence to his due process rights. Plaintiff advised worden Calloway of his illegal detertion and the law, but this detendant said to plaintill and other grusoners that due to could-19 nothing would be done NO law was passed or existed that stopped plainted from being released due to COUID-LT. Furthermore of COUID-19. where being released because This was a clear act of deliberate indifference, cruel and unusal punishment violation of due process; folse imprisonment This defendant was fully aware of plantiffs detention beyond the 20 business days but insisted that nothing would be done because of could-19. Alabama Zaws does not permit this plaintiff should have been released and would have been released had this Defendent contacted the parole board as required by low.

4. Plaintiff notified the defendant of his detention, Plaintiff notified the defendant that he had not had a perocasion hearing within the allewed time.

Plaintiff, then sent a letter to the parole board, whether they received it or not, plaintiff thows is that the worden was fully aware of his situation and decided to do nothing beginse she said that could-19 over suled everything.

- 3. Plaintiff Lones alleged lucy issue and fact against the parole board, the warders the parole officers and anybody else that had. Knowledge, The Northern District Court in Birmingham Nabana, dismissed this civil action against everyone except this detendant because the Parole alleged that the warder failed to timely notify them of plaintiffs detention. Everything that happen after this defendants failure to timely notify the board was caused due to her deniel of due process to plaintiff.
- b. The defendant confuses plaintiff aggument with other roses, plaintiff was transported to Kilby prison from Indiana, it was the buardens responsibility to nothing the parole board of his arrival, then the 20 business days begins. This is begins no one was when clandid would arrive

7. The defendant lied in her afficient, when she stated that Telry Anthony never contacted her about Plaintiffs release this Courts records has a exhibit showing an E-mail from Terry Anthony to Warden Calloway to release plaintiff Plaintiff filed this exhibit the the other Astruct Court before this case was transferred to the Court.

8. Plainted avers that the facole Board and Kilby prison were (beefing) with one another becaus Kilby would not let them hold hearing there. Due to this they both did nothing about the prisoners that was being hild awaiting a revocation hearing. Clearly a delibuate act of indifference toward plaintest and the other prisoners that was being held.

11-29-24

Fredericks fores

Certificate of Service

I hereby Cectify that I flaced as copy of the Same in the mail postage of the Same in the mail postage at Sol Washington Avenue, Montgomers NL, 36130 on this 29th day of November 2024

Frederick Plas